UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	APPLICATION AND ORDER OF EXCLUDABLE DELAY			
Rovel Hermander	Case No.	10 M	1064	
The United States of America and the defendant to 10 15 10 to 115 10 be excluded from an information or indictment must be find the charges against defendant materials.	om the computation on the computation of the comput	ation of the tim	e time period fron ne period within w	n ⁄hich
The parties seek the exclusion of the foregoing period be	ecause			
they are engaged in plea negotiations, vecase without trial, and they require an exclusion of time is that they would not, despite their diligence, have reasonate	in order to focus	s efforts on ple	ea negotiations wi	sition of this thout the risk
() they need additional time to prepare fo	or trial due to the	e complexity o	of case,	·
The defendant states that he/she has been fully a Sixth Amendment to the Constitution; the Speedy Trial Athis Court adopted pursuant to that Act; and Rule 50(b) of understands that he/she has a right to be tried before a just	Act of 1974, 18 of the Federal R ary within a spec	U.S.C. §§ 31e ules of Crimin	61-74; the plan ar nal Procedure. The counting periods	nd rules of ne defendant
Counsel for Defendant				
The joint application of the United States of Ar on the date below, the time period from 10/15/10 the time within which 2 an information or indictment that this exclusion of time serves the ends of justice and speedy trial for the reasons discussed on the record and	must be filed or outweigh the in	trial must	hereby excluded it commence. The	in computing Court finds
without trial, the exclusion of time will allow all counsel that they would be denied the reasonable time necessary exercise of due diligence.	I to focus their of for effective pr	efforts on plea reparation for t	negotiations with	out the risk
()			***	•
SO ORDERED.				
Dated: Brooklyn, N.Y 20	7	MUV United S	SAzrac	k